

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 122 766

IR 003 376

AUTHOR. McLaughlin, John F.
TITLE Electronic Mail: Defining a Role for the U.S. Postal Service.
PUB DATE 21 Apr 76.
NOTE 11p.; Paper presented at the Telecommunications Policy Research Conference (Airlie, Virginia, April 21-24, 1976)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS *Costs; *Delivery Systems; Facsimile Communication Systems; *Facsimile Transmission; Information Dissemination; On Line Systems; Speeches; *Telecommunication
IDENTIFIERS Mail Delivery; *Postal Service

ABSTRACT

Threatened by competitive diversification and by increasing costs, the United States Postal Service has investigated two potential cost reducing systems: (1) a microsystem which would provide electronic facsimile transmission between major markets and (2) a macrosystem which could take digital input such as utility bills and deliver a hardcopy to receivers anywhere in the country. The first system would be most appropriate for business-to-business deliveries, but the most lucrative portion of this market will probably be captured by independent competitors. The second system requires a massive capital investment, and it would probably still require hand-carried deliveries, the most expensive component of mail handling. If customers are willing to accept electronic output, impressive economies of scale can be obtained through the use of electronic systems. (BMH)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

REMARKS OF JOHN F. MCLAUGHLIN

ELECTRONIC MAIL: DEFINING A ROLE FOR THE U. S. POSTAL SERVICE

Before launching into a discussion of the future role of the Postal Service, vis-a-vis electronic mail, let me stress that my remarks do not constitute an "official" position of the Postal Service. As Director of Strategic Planning, one of my critical functions is to encourage speculation about future developments which represent threats and opportunities for USPS. Electronic mail concepts obviously fall within this area and we are anxious to stimulate useful discussion of the subject both within the Postal Service and without.

I think that I have spent the best part of the past week redefining my own position on the subject, and I have been busy rewriting some of that during this morning's discussion. Thus what I have to say today should not be seen as any kind of official stance.

Recently USPS has been denounced on a number of occasions by a congressman for being "woefully behind in its programs to harness new technology for the transmission of information..." During this conference and in previous forums I have been told that the Postal Service has been bypassing great opportunities

in the field of electronic mail. Many people within and without the Postal Service argue that we must "do something" quickly, or face the likelihood that diversion of mail to competitive telecommunications systems will precipitate the collapse of the Postal Service.

I believe that we should evaluate these statements separately. First, the Postal Service is threatened by competitive diversion. Something on the order of 47% of postal revenues stem from handling financial transactions. Another 20% comes from processing something which we call correspondence. There are a number of EFTS schemes that would reduce or eliminate the financial transactions handled by the Postal Service. The growing availability of cheap broadband communications will facilitate the wide economic use of facsimile, mag tape typewriters and other devices that compete with traditional postal correspondence. Thus at some point in the future, two-thirds of postal revenues are subject to diversion.

Whether this means that the Postal Service can and should leap into electronic transmission of the mails presents another set of issues. Let me illustrate these by recapping some of the things which the Postal Service has done and what has been discussed in terms of future electronic mail concepts.

Recent efforts have been focused on two concepts:

1. A "micro" system which would provide fast, reliable and consistent service between major markets. This would be similar to the present Mailgram service offered through Western Union, but probably would be a facsimile system. Such a system probably would offer some type of expedited delivery at the destination office and, like Mailgram, provide for remote electronic input, possibly through USPS-leased terminals. This would be a high-priced service aimed at a relatively narrow segment of the marketplace.
2. A "macro" system, designed to reduce the relative cost of sorting and transporting a significant portion of first class mail. While such a system could be structured to accommodate individual letters entered through lobby walk-in or word processing terminals, the primary market would be mass mailings such as utility bills entering the system in digital form. This type of system would provide faster service for long distance mailings and

increased reliability and consistency of delivery. It would not reduce significantly the transit time for the majority of the mail that is destined for local or nearby delivery.

Both of these concepts are constrained by a traditional definition of mail. They utilize hard copy output which is made available for customer pick-up at a postal facility or delivered by a letter carrier (either on an expedited basis or as part of the routine daily delivery process). Henry Geller has suggested that there are no major legal or policy questions preventing the Postal Service from operating such systems and we agree with that analysis. Our problem with developing and implementing such concepts is one of economics. Let me explain our problems with each system.

In the case of the micro system, I will note first that facsimile is a century-old bridesmaid. People have described it as a threat to the Post Office or a great opportunity for decades. Writing in the Annual Report of 1872, Postmaster General Jonathan Creswell stated:

"The probable simplification of the fac-simile system of Caselli, by which an exact copy of anything that can be drawn or written may be instantaneously made to appear at a distance of hundreds of miles from

the original; and the countless other applications of electricity to the transmission of intelligence yet to be made, -- must sooner or later interfere most seriously with the transportation of letters by the slower means of the post."

Obviously the technology has been around for a long time.

The problem has been finding a market within the economics of the technology. We all know that the economics of facsimile are improving, but there is still considerable question as to the future demand for such a service.

Most of our research to date suggests that the most promising market for a facsimile system consists of business-to-business traffic in geographically concentrated areas. But if the Postal Service enters this market and develops it, there is a marked likelihood that specialized common carriers can pick-off the high density market while the Postal Service operates under continuing pressure from the Postal Rate Commission or the Congress to universalize the service to less profitable areas. More importantly, specialized common carriers would be able to provide electronic output on the user's premises, thus eliminating the cost, delay and possible uncertainties of having a postal carrier deliver a final hard copy.

Perhaps I am seeing a threat where none exists. A facsimile system between major metro areas can be launched with a

relatively small capital investment. Perhaps a national system operated by the Postal Service could provide the economics of scale and the wider accessibility that would create a new market for facsimile services. We think that the concept is worth continued exploration and we are pursuing it. Any decision to implement such a system, however, must be based upon better economic justification than is available presently, not the availability of the technology or the asserted presence of a threat.

Looking at a macro concept, I see similar problems. There seems little doubt that USPS could develop a system to accept large billings on mag tape, sort and transmit the data electronically and print-out the bill at the destinating post office. Presumably we could do the same with social security checks and other large payments. Conceivably we could do it with correspondence prepared on magnetic storage typewriters or entering the system via Telex -- a la Mailgram. The technology to do these things exists, and most authorities probably would agree that there is no major policy issue involved as long as the final output of the system is a hard copy delivered by a postal letter carrier.

We have not built such a system to date; however, because no one has been able to show that it makes economic sense to do so.

The most optimistic forecasts that I have seen for a macro electronic mail system suggest that we might be able to force one-third of our total mail volume through it. Taking some other optimistic assumptions, that might translate into a billion dollars a year in annual savings. More realistically, we probably are talking about half a billion.

Now I do not want to sneer at the prospects of saving a billion or even half a billion dollars annually -- that's a lot of money even for us; that would be between 3% and 7% of our annual budget for next year. But -- it entails a major investment of money, probably a billion dollars at the minimum, and it entails considerable risk in terms of technology and market acceptance.

Implementation of such a system would be years off, increasing those risks accordingly.

The biggest risk, of course, is that eventual development of a comprehensive EFTS scheme would divert most of the traffic the system was designed to handle.

We are continuing to explore the technology and the market that might make a macro system economically attractive. Perhaps the technology will evolve to our advantage. Perhaps we will discover that sophisticated electronic funds transfer systems will not evolve dramatically. Perhaps our next round of studies will indicate the desirability of forging ahead on a macro system, a micro system, or both.

Personally I remain a little skeptical as long as we accept the given of hard copy output delivered by letter carriers. More than a third of present postal spending is devoted to the delivery function. Delivery costs will continue to grow as households and businesses multiply. Some 80% of delivery routes are motorized today, up from 30% a decade ago, and we see little opportunity to achieve further productivity improvement in the delivery function.

Electronic mail probably will remain economically unattractive unless it provides electronic output, thus obviating the massive cost of routine daily delivery service and eliminating the opportunities for delay, loss or error inherent in human intervention between the destination terminal and the recipient. In effect, USPS stands to benefit most

through electronic delivery of mail as opposed to just electronic sorting or transportation.

Any system utilizing electronic output, when coupled with electronic input and intermediate switching, would have the effect of making USPS a common carrier. This in turn raises a multitude of questions concerning charter, regulation and national telecommunications policy.

If for no reason other than cost avoidance, USPS has a vested interest in pursuing an electronic mail system that would reach into millions of households and businesses. Such a system could be viewed as a basis for EFT applications and complementary to voice, cable TV and other communications systems. Along with the present voice and cable utilities, such a system might represent the essence of the once-popular "wired-nation" concept.

USPS lacks the technical and financial resources to develop and implement such a comprehensive system on its own, even if the critical policy issues could be resolved. Those institutions possessing the resources to contribute to such a system appear to lack the requisite charter to enter the field or expand upon their present operations. There may be sufficient economic

justification for a comprehensive electronic mail system and most of the technology seems available. Such a system probably can contribute to the public welfare, but no one can put it together alone.

The most likely solution -- if there is one -- might be a joint venture centered upon USPS -- which offers a potential charter and stands to benefit by reduced costs -- and involving many other parties in providing a switched network, value-added services, household terminals and other elements of an advanced system. Thus an appropriate role for the Postal Service would be sponsoring research and encouraging the development of proposals for joint ventures along these lines.

This implies what many would see as a collusion of giant monopolies or a conspiracy of big business, but there appears to be no other solution if a nationwide electronic mail service is to become a reality in this century.